STATE OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM

#### **EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT**

Page 1 of 2

Division:	Chapter:
Central	5
	Date:
ichers	09-10-2009
	Central

Page 1012				
INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall number of the inspection in the Chapte shall be routed to and its due date. Thi improvement, identified deficiencies, co	r Inspecti s docume	on number. Under "Forw ent shall be utilized to doo	ard to:" enter the nex cument innovative pra	Il in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter kt level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide e used if additional space is required.
TYPE OF INSPECTION  Division Level Command Level  Executive Office Level		Total hours expende inspection: 4	d on the	☐ Corrective Action Plan Included N/A ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forward Central	al Division	The state of the s	
primarily of word-of-mouth, ha	vices a anding te, and	small, sparsely po out applications at	pulated, rural a the office front	rea. Recruiting efforts consist desk, referring the interested e annual Mariposa County Fair
High School.				uitment presentations at the local
is a battery powered vehicle t motorist's driving skills. The p high schools, colleges and ur	hat sim program niversiti some c	nulates the effects on is funded through es throughout the	of impairment fr n a special Grar State. Though	rience or "SIDNE". This program rom alcohol and/or drugs on a nt and is performed at local area not specifically a "recruitment" way Patrol, resulting in recruitment
Command Suggestions for S	Statewi	de Improvement:		
		8		
Inspector's Findings:				

Though the Mariposa Area is a small rural area, it utilizes its resources well in the Area of recruitment. There have been no recent non-uniformed positions available until the retirement of the Automotive Serve Mechanic in June of 2009. The announcement of the available position and hiring process was started, resulting in multiple inquiries and interviews being conducted. A final applicant has been chosen and is currently in the process of filling out the paper work pending the background investigation. The Mariposa Area has followed proper recruiting and hiring procedures. No discrepancies or omissions were found during the inspection.

# **COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM**EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Pag	е	2	of	2
. ~~	_	-	$\sim$ 1	_

ision:	Chapter:
entral	5
Inspected by: Sgt. Todd Weichers	

Commander's Response: ☐ Concur or ☐ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall docume	nt basis for response)
The Mariposa Area understands the importance of continual recruitment to find high	
enthusiastic applicants both for available uniformed and non-uniformed positions. T	he Area will
continue its efforts to reach those individuals through recruiting locally and brainstore	ming innovative
ways to attract interested individuals.	
, and the same and	
Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised,	findings unchanged
etc.)	mangs unonangeu,
None.	
Required Action	grant transferancial
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	
None.	
W.	
19	
Employee would like to discuss this report with COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	9/24/00
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	9/24/09 DATE 9.24.09
100 A 1 1- ()	0 /
JEOU/ Deafur	
Reviewer discussed this report with	DATE
employee	
Concur Do not concur	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5
Command Cadet Applications

Command: Mariposa	Division: Central	Number:		
Evaluated by:		Date: 09-10-2009		
Sgt. Todd Weichers Assisted by:		Date:		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				

applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection Date: Follow-up Required: Follow-up Inspection 9/24/05 No. Yes For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.1 Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. Questions 1 through 8 pertain to the initial interview Does the investigator conduct an initial interview with Remarks: Area command the candidate? ☐ Yes □ No N/A inspection 2. Does the investigator explain the confidentiality of the Remarks: Area command investigation to the candidate? ☐ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection 3. Does the investigator require the candidate to supply Remarks: Area command any previously omitted information on the CHP 446, ☐ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection Personal History Statement, and make those additions in green colored ink? Does the investigator have the candidate sign and Remarks: Area command date the last page of the written explanations, on the Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection Personal History Questionnaire (PHQ)? 5. Does the investigator review all personal data Remarks: Area command documents and complete the CHP 445B, Verified Yes ⊠ N/A ☐ No inspection Personal Data? Does the investigator review the CHP 73H, Academy Remarks: Area command Program, with the applicant, and have the applicant M Yes □No N/A. inspection sign and date the bottom of the form? Does the investigator check the corresponding boxes Remarks: Area command of each point listed in the 'Academy Assignment ☐ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection Information' and the 'Field Assignment Information' sections of the CHP 446S, Applicant Investigation Report, to indicate those points were discussed? Does the investigator review the CHP 495. Physical Remarks: Area command Performance Testing, with the candidate, and have Yes □ No N/A inspection the candidate acknowledge the review by signing and dating the bottom of the form?

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy,

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Cadet Applications

Questi	ons 9 through 21 pertain to the background investigation				
1.	the CHP 446S, Applicant Investigation Report?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
2.	Does the investigator attempt contact with all five of the references listed on the CHP 446?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
3.	account for the past seven years?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
4.	Does the investigator verify the candidate meets the minimum educational requirements?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
5.	Does the investigator attempt contact with all the candidate's employers, to account for a history of the past seven years?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
6.	Does the investigator attempt contact with all the candidate's employers for the past four years?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
7.	departments in the geographical areas where the candidate has lived and worked?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
8.	If the investigator develops information that the candidate is or has been on probation, does the investigator contact the jurisdictional court?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
9.	If the candidate is or has been on probation, does the investigator contact the candidate's probation officer, to determine if the candidate has had any probation violations?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
	Does the investigator contact those creditors reporting unfavorable ratings, to determine reason(s) for the report?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
11.	Are all issues considered derogatory in nature listed numerically in the 'Final Interview' section of the report?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
	Was the report reviewed by the Division AIU Coordinator?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection
13.	Are completed files forwarded to Cadet Selection/Hiring assembled correctly, per the instructions in HPM 10.1?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area command inspection

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Civilian Applications - Nonsensitive Positions

Command: Mariposa	Division: Central	Number		
Evaluated by: Sgt. Todd W	eichers	Date: 09-10-2009		
Assisted by:		Date:		

applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Except Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only or the inspection of th	I be comment ions Docume nd/or corrective	ed on via the nt and addre ve action(s)	e "Remarks essed to the taken. If th	s" section. Additionally, such e next level of command, is form is used as a Follow-up
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	ire:	
		M.	1	-
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level	16	AX	Ul	eel
☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection				
Follow-up Required:	Commande	of's Signature	.11	Date:
☐ Yes    No	KM	tros	M	9/24/09
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.1 and 10.3.		- William Co		
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks"	section sh	all be utiliz	zed for ex	planation.
<ol> <li>Did the hiring supervisor obtain the applicant's signature on the CHP 437, Job Commitment Disclosure- Nonuniformed Applicant?</li> </ol>	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area.
<ol> <li>Did the hiring supervisor provide the applicant with the CHP 432, Personal History Statement- Nonuniformed Applicant?</li> </ol>	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
<ol><li>Did the hiring supervisor initiate DOJ and FBI background checks?</li></ol>	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
4. Did the hiring supervisor initiate a driver license inquiry of the applicant?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
5. Did the hiring supervisor conduct a thorough check of present and past employers, to account for at least one year of employment history, using the CHP 433, Employment Inquiry- Nonuniformed Applicant?	f Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
6. Was the investigation expanded (commander approval required)? If yes, please provide remarks and explain if the hiring supervisor contacted at least one of the three references listed on the CHP 434, Reference Inquiry – Nonuniformed Applicant.	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
7. If the investigation was expanded, did the hiring supervisor perform a residence check, to account for at least a one year history, using the CHP 436, Residence Inquiry- Nonuniformed Applicant?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
<ol><li>In the final interview, was the applicant afforded the ability to review the CHP 432 and make changes as</li></ol>	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no

### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Civilian Applications - Nonsensitive Positions

				the same of the sa	
	necessary?				Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
9.	Were derogatory findings discussed with the applicant in the final interview, and was the applicant given an opportunity to respond?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
10.	Did the hiring supervisor prepare a memo summarizing the results of the investigation, and make a recommendation as to whether the candidate was suitable for hire?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
11.	Did the commander indicate written concurrence (or lack thereof) on the memo?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
	Did the hiring supervisor make a conditional commitment to hire the applicant?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
13.	Was the applicant provided with a form STD 910, Essential Health Functions Questionnaire?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area
14.	Upon receipt of the approved CHP 128, Request for Personnel Action from Personnel Management Division, was the recommended investigation package submitted to Administrative Services Division?	☐ Ýes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: There have been no Nonsensitive positions hired recently in the Mariposa Area

1 of 3

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Civilian Applications - Sensitive Positions

Command: Mariposa	Division: Central	Number:		
Evaluated by: Sgt. Todd Weichers		Date: 09-10-2009		
Assisted by:		Date:		

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exception Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only de	e comment ns Docume or correctiv	ed on via th nt and addre /e action(s)	e "Remarks essed to the taken. If th	s" section. Additionally, such e next level of command. is form is used as a Follow-up
TYPE OF INSPECTION  Division Level  Executive Office Level  Voluntary Self-Inspection	Lead Inspe	ctor's Signatu	Jace	2
Follow-up Required:  ☐ Yes	Commande	two	lel, c	Date: 9/24/09
For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 10.1 and 10.3				,
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section Questions 1 through 13 pertain to the requirements of the hiring			planation.	And have been professional and the
Did the applicant read and sign the following forms:     CHP 437, Job Commitment Disclosure-     Nonuniformed Applicant; CHP 432, Personal History     Statement- Nonuniformed Applicant; CHP 420,     Applicant Drug History Questionnaire- Nonuniformed     Applicant; and CHP 432B, Authorization to Release Information?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Applicant was forwarded the forms, He is in the process of reviewing and filling them out prior to their scheduled return of October 1, 2009.
Did the command initiate fingerprinting of the applicant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: This will be performed by the background investigator.
Did the command initiate a driver license inquiry of the applicant?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: This will be performed by the background investigator.
4. If the reviewed command is a field command, did command forward the CHP 432; CHP 432B; CHP 420; and STD 678, Examination and/or Employment Application, to their respective Division Applicant Investigation Unit (AIU)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The applicant has not yet reached this stage in the hiring process.
<ol> <li>If the reviewed command is a Headquarters         Command, did command forward the CHP 432, CHP         432B, CHP 420, and STD 678 to Selection Standards         and Examinations Unit (SSEU)?</li> </ol>	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection.
In the final interview, was the applicant provided an opportunity to review the CHP 432 and make changes as necessary?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The applicant has not yet reached this stage in the hiring process.
After the applicant reviewed and signed the CHP 432, did the hiring supervisor discuss any derogatory findings with the applicant and allow him/her to	☐Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The applicant has not yet reached this stage in the hiring

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Civilian Applications - Sensitive Positions

	respond?	r - 1			nrassas
8.					process.
0.	After the final interview, did the hiring supervisor prepare a memorandum (CHP 51WP), describing the final interview and include a recommendation as to whether the applicant is suitable for hire?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The applicant has not yet reached this stage in the hiring process.
9.	Did the commander indicate concurrence, or lack thereof, in writing on the memo containing the hiring supervisor's recommendation?	_ ☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The applicant has not yet reached this stage in the hiring process.
10.	Following background clearance and receipt of the approved CHP 128, Request for Personnel Action, was the recommended hiring package submitted to Administrative Services Division, Business Services Section (BSS), and Personnel Files Unit?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The applicant has not yet reached this stage in the hiring process.
	If the applicant did not successfully complete the background check, did the hiring command forward the applicant investigation package to SSEU, with a request that the applicant be removed from certification?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
12.	If not hired, were notes and materials pertaining to the applicant retained for two years?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See remarks in box #10
13.	If the applicant was hired, were the CHP 432, STD 610, and the Applicant Investigation Report retained for the life of employment, plus three years?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
Questic	ons 14 through 31 pertain to the background investigatio	n, and resp	oonsibilities	of AIU.	
	Was a CHP 446D, Authorization to Release Medical Information, completed by the applicant and a licensed physician from the Department's approved list of physicians and clinics?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
	Was the applicant provided with an STD 610, Health Questionnaire, and instructions for completion?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
	Was the requisite medical examination obtained by the applicant, and documented on the STD 610 by a licensed physician from the Department's approved list of physicians and clinics?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
	Was a pre-employment drug test completed by the applicant and a licensed physician from the Department's approved list of physicians and clinics?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
18.	Were all three references listed on the CHP 432 contacted, and the CHP 434, Reference Inquiry-Nonuniformed Applicant, used for those contacts?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
19.	Did the investigator indicate the names and addresses of all references contacted, and whether any derogatory information was obtained, in the 'Reference Inquiry' section of the CHP 435, Investigation Report- Nonuniformed Applicant?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
20.	Were checks conducted of present and past residences, to account for at least a three-year	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had

### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Civilian Applications - Sensitive Positions

history, and the CHP 436, Residence Inquiry- Nonuniformed Applicant, used for those contacts?				the inspection.
21. Did the investigator indicate the residence address, neighbors contacted, and whether any derogatory information was obtained, in the 'Residence Inquiry' section of the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
22. Was a thorough inquiry of present and past employers conducted, to account for at least a three year history, and the CHP 433, Employment Inquiry- Nonuniformed Applicant, used for those contacts?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
23. Did the investigator indicate the employer, the person contacted, and any derogatory information obtained, under 'Employment Inquiry' of the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
24. Was inquiry made with police and/or sheriff's offices in the applicant's city or county of residence or employment?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
25. Did the investigator indicate the date of the inquiry, the name of the department contacted, and the person who provided the information, in the 'Police Inquiry' section of the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
26. If a credit inquiry was conducted, was the credit history report attached to the final report?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
27. Did the investigator include a summary of derogatory information in the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
28. If derogatory information was revealed, did the investigator conduct a discrepancy interview, and was the applicant given an opportunity to respond?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
29. If a discrepancy interview was conducted, was the discussion documented in the 'Discrepancy/ Investigative Interview' section of the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
30. If a discrepancy interview was conducted, was indication of resolution (or lack thereof) to those issues documented in the 'Discrepancy/Investigative Interview' section of the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.
31. Did the investigator include a recommendation whether the applicant was suitable for employment, under 'Investigative Summary' of the CHP 435?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: The hiring process had not reached this step in prior to the inspection.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5
Command Recruitment

Command: Mariposa	Division: Central	Number:
Evaluated by: Sgt. Todd W	eichers	Date: 09-10-2009
Assisted by:		Date:

discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead-Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection Date: Follow-up Required: Follow-up Inspection X No Yes For applicable policy, refer to: HPM 70.16 Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation, 1. Has recruitment been made a standing agenda item at Remarks: Area Command every Division Area Commander's Conference? ☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A inspection 2. Does Division ensure there is a location at every Area Remarks Area Command office for the public to obtain recruitment information? ☐ Yes □ No N/A inspection: 3. Has Division developed and maintained a written Remarks: Area Command recruitment plan? N/A ☐ Yes ΠNo inspection Does the Division Recruitment Coordinator assist in Remarks: Area Command obtaining necessary equipment and staff to support ☐ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection recruitment operations? Does Division contact the Office of Community Remarks: Area Command Outreach and Recruitment (OCOR) prior to submitting ☐ Yes ⊠ N/A ☐ No inspection supplemental commodity requisitions, to avoid duplication? Has Division developed/conducted seminars and Remarks: Area Command workshops to assist candidates in the pre-certification M Yes M No IX N/A inspection testing process? 7. Does the Division Recruitment Coordinator arrange for Remarks: Area Command test sites? ☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A inspection 8. Is the CHP 349, Recruitment Survey, completed by Remarks: Area Command every candidate who participates in the written test. ☐ Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection and retained at Division offices for six months following the candidates' written test dates? Does Division summarize the survey data and route the information to OCOR by the 20<sup>th</sup> day of the month, Remarks: Area Command Yes Mo. ⊠ N/A inspection after the end of each quarter? 10. Does the Division Recruitment Coordinator ensure Remarks: Area Command recruitment is included in Area training days? Yes Yes □ No ⊠ N/A inspection

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Recruitment

	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER				
	. Has Division established a Cadet Candidate Mentoring Program (CCMP) and incorporated it into their Area commands?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks Area Command inspection:
	Are volunteers solicited from within the Division to participate in the CCMP as mentors and maintained on a Division Mentor Rotation List?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks Area Command inspection:
	Does Division ensure every mentor reads and signs the Mentor Officer Instructions?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks Area Command inspection:
	Do Division Recruiters coordinate ride-alongs for CHP cadet candidates at Areas within their Division?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	During the past 12 months, did Division submit its initial spending plan to OCOR, by June 1st?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does Division prepare and submit the requisite Recruitment Quarterly Report to OCOR?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does Division submit subsequent quarterly expenditure spending plans to OCOR with each quarterly report?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does Division keep an account of recruitment expenditures, and e-mail Updated Expenditure Balance Sheets to OCOR, each month by the 15 <sup>th</sup> ?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks Area Command inspection:
19.	Have Division recruiters submitted a summary of their monthly activities on the CHP 492, Recruiting Activity Summary, attached to their CHP 100, Officer's Evaluation/Activity Summary?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks Area Command inspection:
20.	If there were employees assigned to the Division Recruitment Unit within the past 12 months, did they receive the requisite three days' training at OCOR within the first 60 days of their assignment?	☐ Yes	∏ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Did all Division Recruitment personnel attend the annual recruitment conference hosted by OCOR?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
Questic	ons 22 through 28 pertain solely to OCOR.			E THE TREE	THE PART OF THE PARTY OF THE PA
	Is OCOR allocating and monitoring funds to the Division level?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does OCOR manage the Department's toll-free recruitment account?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does OCOR ensure out-of-state candidates are mentored?	☐Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does OCOR notify Division Recruitment Coordinators via e-mail, regarding upcoming critical selection process milestones?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does OCOR arrange and conduct recruitment meetings and training?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
	Does OCOR coordinate the completion and review of CHP 349 forms to determine effectiveness of recruitment methods?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection
28.	Is OCOR maintaining and updating the Department's recruitment website?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area Command inspection

1 of 1

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 5

Command Recruitment and Applications

Command: Division: Central		Number:			
Evaluated by:		Date:			
Sgt. Todd We	eichers	09-10-2009			
Assisted by:		Date:			

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection Date: Follow-up Required: Commander's Signature ☐ Follow-up Inspection ⊠ No 9/24/09 Yes Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation. 1. What was the Division's total budget for Recruitment for the year? N/A 2. Did the Division operate within the limitations of the Remarks: Area Command ⊠ N/A spending plans attached to its Recruitment Quarterly ☐ Yes ☐ No inspection Reports? 3. Did the Division operate within the parameters of their Remarks: Area Command annual Recruitment Plan? ☐ No ⊠ N/A Yes inspection In Dollars: Percentage: 4. How much of the Division's Recruitment total budget N/A for the year was spent on advertisement? 5. Has the Division's recruitment program been tailored, Remarks: Area Command N/A so that funds and effort are expended only on those M Yes ☐ No inspection efforts proven to be most effective? Regardless of answer, please provide remarks. 6. Does the Division's demographic breakdown of total Remarks: Area Command applicants reflect that of the residents in their ☐ Yes No ⊠ N/A inspection geographic area? 7. Does the Division's demographic breakdown of Remarks: Area Command successful candidates reflect that of the residents in Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A inspection their geographic area? Have steps been taken to help applicants overcome Remarks: Area Command difficulty with specific portions of the application Yes N/A ☐ No inspection process? Regardless of answer, please provide remarks. 9. Upon review of the total number of investigators Remarks: Area Command versus total investigations completed, was the Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A inspection workload for each investigator appropriate? 10. Were non-investigation hours expenditures within Remarks: Area Command reasonable limits? ☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A inspection